Memorandum

Date:

May 10, 2010

To:

Office of Inspections

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Border Division

File No.:

601.9857.16472

Subject:

EL CENTRO AREA'S RESPONSE TO FISCAL CONTROLS INSPECTION

Attached is El Centro Area's Exceptions response to the Fiscal Controls Inspection recently conducted by Departmental personnel.

The Area commander has closely reviewed the findings and recommendations contained within the final report and concurs with the evaluator's findings.

I concur with the commander's actions in this matter and am satisfied with the report's findings.

G. A. DOMINGUEZ, Chief

Attachments

cc: El Centro Area

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

Command:	Division:	Number:
El Centro	Border	625
Evaluated by:	Date:	
Lieutenant M. T. Shaffer		03/08/2010
Assisted by:	Date:	
Office Supervis	03/08/2010	

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statutes, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION		Lead Inspe	ector's Signati	ıre:	
☐ Division Level	⊠ Command Level	. 6			
	☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection	M.J.	A		
Follow-up Required:	☐ Follow-Up Inspection	Command	er's Signature	2	Date: 5/3/10
For applicable policies, refer to Manual (SAM), HPM 11.1, Cha Chapter 2.	apter 4, and HPM 11.2,		/		
Note: A "Yes" response indicates be utilized for explanation.	full compliance with policy. I	f a "No" or	"N/A" box	is checked	d, the "Remarks" section shall
Is management actively in approving paperwork relative preparing collections?	ted to receiving and	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Does the command have Procedures (SOP) to prove for overall management a receiving and preparing comparing comparing and preparing comparing comparing and preparing comparing compar	ride necessary guidelines nd accountability of ollections?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Area personnel utilize HPM 11.1, Chapter 4, and HPM 11.2, Chapter 2.
3. Does the command have adequate separation of duties for collections received?			□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Does the command have adequate separation of duties for the cash receipt process?		⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Exceptions occur when there is overlap due to a lack of personnel.
Is access to the safe and/ restricted?	or vault appropriately	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
occur?	d when changes in access	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
required access?	re aware of the out of the Area, or no longer	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
8. Is the safe securely ancho	red to the building?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
 Are weekly transmittal rep accordance with department 	ental policy?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
10. Is the weekly transmittal re	eport(s) submitted to Fiscal S) within five working days	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

11	 Does the command submit the following forms with the weekly transmittal when applicable? (1) CHP 265, Sale of Discarded Tires/Junk Batteries/Used Rotors. (2) CHP 36, Evidence/Property Receipt/Report (Unclaimed Property). (3) STD 634, Absence and Additional Time Worked Report, for jury duty. (4) CHP 221, Malicious Damage Report. (5) CHP 464, Traffic Control Cost Estimate – Advance Deposit. (6) Civil subpoena. 	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: With one exception, the Area has not sent the CHP 634 for incidents were Area personnel attended jury duty.
	. Is a memorandum for cash shortages prepared if necessary?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	. Does the command ensure the information written on the counter receipt is complete and legible?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	. Was a counter receipt issued for each witness fee deposit received?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	. Was a counter receipt issued for each movie, wide-load, and special event detail(s)?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	. Was a counter receipt issued for each sale, including the sale of discarded tires, junk batteries, used rotors, and other cash received?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
17.	. Is sales tax added to items that are not for resale?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are all counter receipts pre-numbered and issued in numerical sequence?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
19.	Were transfers of counter receipt books/certificates between field commands reported on a CHP 266A, Credit Memo - Non- Equipment?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the STD 439, Disbursement Voucher, properly authorized and completed to support expenditure?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Are the CHP 264, Petty Cash Replenishment Requests, completed at least monthly if over \$10.00, quarterly if under \$10.00, and on June 30 of each fiscal year?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the CHP 264 properly authorized?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Does the total amount of cash, receipts on hand, and receipts in transit equal the total of petty cash and change funds?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is there documentation to support periodic reviews of petty cash and change funds performed by the commander or designated person?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Yes performed on a quarterly basis.
25.	Are overages and shortages of the petty cash funds reported to Fiscal Management Section?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area hasn't had any such

Page

3 of 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

26. Were change funds used to cash checks, money orders or cashier/travelers checks?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
27. Are change funds over \$100 and petty cash funds over \$200 kept in a safe, vault, or money chest adequate to safeguard cash?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Kept in money chest.
28. Are all petty cash purchases under \$50?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
29. Is petty cash used to purchase prohibited items?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
30. Did the command circumvent the dollar limitation by splitting the purchase?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
31. Is a petty cash custodian designated by the commander?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
EL Centro	Border	Chapter 4
Inspected by:	-1	Date:
Lieutenant M. T	. Shaffer	03/08/2010

Page 1 of 2

	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forward to ent shall be utilized to docume	o:" enter the nex nt innovative pra	
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command L Executive Office Level	.evel	Total hours expended on inspection: 3 hours	the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☒ No	Forwa			
Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regar None	ding Ir	novative Practices:		
Command Suggestions for St	atewic	e Improvement:		
Inspector's Findings:				
The Area is in compliance with HPM 11.2, Chapter 2, with one transmittals. Area is now awar	excep	otion. Previously, STD	634's were	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Commander's Response: 🛛	Concu	r or 🗌 Do Not Concur	(Do Not Conc	ur shall document basis for response)
The Clerical Supervisor, and p fiscal controls.	ersonr	el are doing an outsta	nding job in	the management of the Area's
Inspector's Comments: Shall a	address	non concurrence by comm	nander (e.g., fir	ndings revised, findings unchanged,

The clerical unit and the Clerical Supervisor are highly efficient and take extraordinary steps to ensure all polices and procedures are followed for the proper handling of all weekly transmittals, receipts, cash box and petty cash. The one exception regarding handling of the STD 634s was an aberration and has been corrected.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
EL Centro	Border	Chapter 4
Inspected by:		Date:
Lieutenant M.	T. Shaffer	03/08/2010

	《新學作的信息》	
Required Action		100
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY	经建筑的改善的。	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		

There is no further action required. The Clerical Supervisor is fully aware of the policy and has implemented the appropriate steps to ensure compliance.

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	1 the	05/03/2010
	INSPECTION'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	W. S. All	05/03/2010
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee	166	5/12/
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur'	1.1.	1/3/10
1,1	10-401	